SCHEDULE OF DETAILED COMMENTS & RESPONSES

- Letters of comment longer than one paragraph (mostly from authorities and institutions) are listed separately from the short verbal comments and the comments response forms (see list below).
- Certain authorities and organisations submitted detailed generic letters or letters containing substantial generic content. While the content of these have been noted, they will not be responded to in the same detail as letters commenting specifically on the Augrabies PV project.
- Mapping error: A number of comments relate to a mapping error in the boundary demarcation of Augrabies National Park, which incorrectly includes the site in the Park. This incorrect boundary demarcation has found its way into numerous other mapping databases, resulting in uninformed comment. All of the parties concerned have now accepted this mapping was in error, but the comments were submitted before they became aware of the fact.
- Separate meetings for were held with the two landowners at their request to explain the reports and the project locations impacting their land were indicated on site. These meetings were to clarify the project proposals and impacts. They did not submit comment.
- In some cases a substantial response letter to the comment has been included with the comment letter.

E-6 Letters of response and special meeting notes	Response location
E-6-4 Schedule of detailed comments and	N/A
responses	
E-6-5 Record of meeting with Mr Vivian McPherson	Comments & responses table
E-6-6 Water Affairs Comment	Comments & responses table
E-6-7 NCape Enviro Affairs	Comments & responses table
E-6-8 SANParks	 Comments & responses table
	 Letter of response on glare (E-6-8)
E-6-9 WESSA Northern Cape	Comments & responses table
E-6-10 Nardus Du Plessis Concerns	 Comments & responses table
	 Appendix D-7 Swartrante Viewsite Visual
	Supplementary Assessment. Mr Du Plessis
	accepted this as resolving his concerns.
	 A joint site visit was undertaken to the Swartrante
	View Site with the Head of the Augrabies National
	Park. It was agreed that the proposed Site 1 would
	not be visible from the Swartrante View site.
	Comments & responses table + letter of response (in
Jacolene Mans	E-6-11)
E-6-12 SAHRA (Heritage Comment)	Comments & responses table
E-13 Eskom (John Geeringh)	Comments & responses table
	 Eskom technical guidelines are noted but outside
	the scope of the environmental process.
E-6-14 Birdlife letter & response	 Comments & responses table
	 Letter of response (in E-6-14)
E-6-15 NCape Planning (Schalk Grobbelaar)	Comments & response table (summary)
	 Response letters (in E-6-15 & J-8)